## 

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

## **ENTERED**

December 23, 2016 David J. Bradley, Clerk

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
	§	
V.	§	MAGISTRATE NO. H-16-1752M
	§	
JOHN EDWARD SCOTT	§	

# ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

neld. I conclu	de that the f	h the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been following facts are established by a preponderance of the evidence or clear and require the detention of the above-named defendant pending trial in
		Findings of Fact
] A. Finding	gs of Fact [1	8 U.S.C. § 3142(e), § 3142(f)(1)].
[](1)		dant has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that the been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction d) that is
	[]	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
	[]	an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
	[]	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. ( ) $\S$ 801 et seq. ( ) $\S$ 951 et seq. ( ) $\S$ 955(a).
	[]	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) (A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
[](2)		se described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release ial for a federal, state or local offense.
[](3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.	

	[](4)	Findings Nos. 1, 2, and 3 establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
[X]	B.	Findings of Fact [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)]			
	[X] (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense			
		[] for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. () § 801 et seq. () § 951 et seq. () § 955(a).			
		[X] under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
	[] (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.			
[X]	C.	Findings of Fact [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)]			
	[X] (1	[X] (1) Defendant is accused of conspiracy to interfere with commerce by robbery in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1951(a) and aiding and abetting with use of a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
	[X] (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.				
	[X] (3) Defendant represents a danger to the community.				
	[](4)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror, or attempt to do so).			
[X]	D.	Findings of Fact [18 U.S.C. § 3142(c)]			
	[](1)	As a condition of release of the defendant, bond was set as follows:			
	[](2)				

- [X] (3) I find that there is no condition or combination of conditions set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(c) which will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
- [X] (4) I find that there is no condition or combination of conditions set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(c) which will reasonably assure the safety of any other person or the community.

#### Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the accusations in the criminal complaint, information submitted in the Pretrial Services Agency report, and information at the detention hearing establish by a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and by clear and convincing evidence that no conditions will assure the safety of the community.

I conclude that the following factors specified in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) are present and are to be taken into account:

- 1. Defendant is a U.S. citizen who lives in Houston, Texas. Defendant declined to be interviewed by pretrial services, so little is known of his ties to the community. He recently reported employment with Northside Kustoms.
- 2. Defendant's criminal history includes multiple convictions for possession of marijuana, a misdemeanor conviction for evading arrest, and a felony conviction for possession with intent to manufacture or deliver a controlled substance for which he was on parole at the time of the current offense. He also has a prior federal conviction for conspiracy to interfere with commerce by extortion and was on supervised release at the time of the instant offense.
- 3. Defendant is accused of conspiracy to interfere with commerce by robbery in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1951(a) and aiding and abetting with use of a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). He faces a potential penalty of up to twenty years in prison.
- 4. Testimony at the hearing indicates Scott was heard on audio recordings discussing the alleged criminal activity.
- 5. There is no condition or combination of conditions of release which would assure the appearance of the defendant in court or the safety of the community. Detention is ordered.

### **Directions Regarding Detention**

It is therefore ORDERED that the defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with all court proceedings.

3

Signed at Houston, Texas, on December 22, 2016.

Stephen Wm Smith United States Magistrate Judge